International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos
© World Scientific Publishing Company

arXiv:1608.05876v1 [nlin.CD] 20 Aug 2016

Symbol-to-symbol correlation function at the Feigenbaum point of the logistic map

K. Karamanos
Complex Systems Group,Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, NRCPS Demokritos,GR 15310, Aghia Paraskevi Attiki , Greece
kkaraman@ulb.ac.be

I.S. Mistakidis
Hellenic Army Academy, Section of Physical Sciences and Applications, Vari Attiki, Greece,
is.mist@hotmail.com

S.I. Mistakidis
Section of Nuclear and Particle Physics, University of Athens,Panepistimiopolis, GR 15784, Athens,Greece,
smistakidis@phys.uoa.gr

Recently, simple dynamical systems such as the 1-d maps on the interval, gained significant attention in the context of statistical physics and complex systems. The decay of correlations in these systems, can be characterized and measured by correlation functions. In the context of symbolic dynamics of the non-chaotic multifractal attractors (i.e. Feigenbaum attractors), one observable, the symbol-to-symbol correlation function, for the generating partition of the logistic map, is rigorously introduced and checked with numerical experiments. Thanks to the Metropolis-Stein-Stein (MSS) algorithm this observable can be calculated analytically, giving predictions in absolute accordance with numerical computations. The deep, algorithmic structure of the observable is revealed clearly reflecting the complexity of the multifractal attractor.

Keywords: Correlation function; symbolic dynamics; Feigenbaum attractors; logistic map.

1. Introduction

Deterministic Chaos, discovered in the beginning of the 20th century, has been one of the basic subjects of Interdisciplinary experimental, computational and theoretical research activity of the end of the 20th century. Other similar topics include Fractals, Finite automata, Cellular automata, Solitons, Linguistic dynamics, Complex Systems, Ecosystems, Anticipatory Systems, General Systems and Computer Assisted Music [Bai-Lin, 1994; Nicolis, 1991; Nicolis, 1995; Schröder, 1991; Schuster, 1984].
The theory of Chaos is often highlighted as a theory of unpredictability, dynamical randomness, irregular and erratic behavior. Symbolic Dynamics has played an important role to Chaos theory through Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy and Kolmogorov-Chaitin Complexity. A decisive step in symbolic dynamics has been done in [Metropolis et al., 1973] where a new algorithm coming from the world of combinatorics, the Metropolis, Stein and Stein algorithm, has been used to generate and classify (through an abstract ordering) the symbolic dynamics of the superstable orbits of unimodal maps. This led MSS to the Universal sequence ("U-sequence"), also referred to as "structural universality" in [Schuster, 1984].In a later step,one

of the present authors (K.K.) and G. Nicolis have shown that the symbolic dynamics at the accumulation points of the $m \cdot 2^k$ superstable orbits present self-similarity related to block-entropies. Precise Theorems have been established and the relations to Fractals, Turing Machines (Finite automata), and Transcendental Number Theory have been elucidated [Karamanos, 2001a]. A careful popular review to the subject of symbolic dynamics and related topics has been given in a Lecture Note [Karamanos, 2000].
After important contributions by Feigenbaum [Feigenbaum, 1978; Feigenbaum, 1979], and a wealth of related works the period-doubling root to chaos is by now well-understood. Many authors have pointed out similar trends between the transition to the non-chaotic multifractal attractor (also called Feigenbaum attractor) and the theory of second order phase transitions (Critical phenomena). A related question in this context is about the analytic form of the correlation function of the map. Motivated by the call of [Ruelle, 1986], J. Nicolis and Ebeling-Nicolis important publications paved the road towards this direction [Nicolis & Gaspard, 1994; Ebeling & Nicolis, 1991; Ebeling & Nicolis, 1992; Freund et al., 1996; Nicolis et al., 1983; Rateitschak et al., 1996]. However, this task had not yet been accomplished. After work by one of the present authors (K.K.) and G. Nicolis [Karamanos & Nicolis, 1999] it has been shown that in the basis of the Metropolis, Stein and Stein (MSS) algorithm, the symbolic dynamics at the Feigenbaum point is universal,irrespectively of the detailed form of every unimodal map. From this viewpoint it is interesting to study another type of correlation function (observable), namely the symbol-to-symbol correlation function for the logistic map. In this paper, we formulate in a systematic basis and calculate analytically all values of the symbol-to-symbol correlation function for the logistic map.
The self-similarity found by these authors, implies that the invariant measure lives on a fractal set, which further connects these results with work by Grassberger and Mandelbrot [Grassberger, 1986; Karamanos & Nicolis, 1999] in order to detect self-similarity of symbolic dynamics, had used a modified block-entropy, called "block entropy by lumping".
Later on, [Karamanos, 2001b; Karamanos & Kotsireas, 2002] has explored the limits of these methods, showing that block-entropy analysis by lumping could be used for the detection of finite automata in general. An entropy diagnostic for automaticity has been announced in the international conference CASYS 2000 in Liege. Generalizations of these results have circulated in a series of papers. A completely mechanized environment for large scale block entropy computations for arbitrary symbolic sequences has developed thanks to I. Kotsireas, in Maple.
Coming back now to the digital approach, from symbolic dynamics we form some new constants and the algebraic nature of these constants can be directly related to the Lyapunov exponent of the chaotic map. In a first stage, the proof uses a relatively recent theorem by [Allouche & Zamboni, 1998]. However a general proof that automatic numbers are never algebraic irrational has seen the light of the day thanks to [Adamczewski & Bugeaud, 2007]. These theorems opened the road to the constructions of "super-universal" constants for any unimodal maps.
The study of correlation functions is the main subject of the present paper. The paper is articulated as follows: In Sec. 2 we present the part of the Metropolis, Stein and Stein (MSS) algorithm which gives the universal symbolic dynamics at the Feigenbaum point of unimodal maps. In Sec. 3 the self-similarity properties of this symbolic dynamics under lumping are explored. In Sec. 4 we present the connection with gliding. In Sec. 5 we introduce the notion of the correlation functions. In Sec. 6 we accomplish our numerical experimentation. In Sec. 7 we propose the extraction of the values for the symbol-to-symbol correlation function at the accumulation point of the 2^k superstable cycles. In Sec. 8 we draw the main conclusions. In the next Sec we introduce symbolic dynamics with particular emphasis to the symbolic dynamics at the Feigenbaum point of unimodal maps.

2. Coarse-graining and Universal symbolic dynamics of unimodal maps

To produce symbolic dynamics out of the evolution of a given dynamical system we set up a coarse-grained description incorporating from the very beginning the idea that a physically accessible state corresponds to a finite region rather than to a single point of phase space. Let V be the set of cells in phase space constituted by these regions assumed to be connected and non-overlapping. As time goes on the phase space trajectory performs transitions between cells thereby generating sequences of V symbols which may

be regarded as the letters of an alphabet.
For unimodal maps, the coarse-graining can be done in a non-ambiguous ("canonical") manner, so that it is useful to summarize some key properties of unimodal maps, defined as follows:

i. They are functions $f_\lambda : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ depending on a real parameter ,λ, (control parameter),
ii. f_λ is continuous and at least piecewise differentiable in [0,1],
iii. f_λ is convex and has a unique maximum but is otherwise arbitrary.
Let c be the position of the maximum, that is, if $x \neq c : f(x) < f(c), f'(x) > 0$ if $x < c$ and $f'(x) < 0$ if $x > c$. A particular iterate, x_n will be said to be of '0'or of L type and of '1'or of R type,according to whether $x_n < c$ *or* $x_n > c$, respectively. Given an initial condition,x_0 the 'minimum distinguishing information' about the sequence of iterates x_n will consist of a pattern of 0's (L's) and 1's (R's). Note that there are many possible ways to partition the phase space and many candidates as initial points.
However, according to the Julia theorem [Julia, 1918], the partition $[0,c] \cup (c,1]$ and the initial point $x_0 = c$ both turn out to be the most fruitful, from the point of view of the extraction of information available by the system. More specifically, the resulting coarse-grained path (symbolic dynamics) is in a one- to-one correspondence with the actual trajectory and, hence, there is no loss of information in the topological sense. We are thus in position to completely characterize the dynamical system as an information generator by examining its symbolic dynamics only.This is why this partition is called a generating one. For polynomial maps, a stronger result called the 'Fatou and Julia Theorem' holds: for appropriate $\lambda's$ one can further localize the stable periodic orbits starting from the critical point c (where $f'(c) = 0$) and iterating it.
Based on this theoretical framework, one can develop a whole machinery for the construction of the 'patterns' of the (super)stable periodic orbits of any period. This task has been accomplished first in [Metropolis et al., 1973] for finite limit sets and later completed in [Derrida et al., 1978], in order to include infinite limit sets. In view of the particular importance of the period-doubling route to chaos, several authors tried to examine the symbolic dynamics of the corresponding attractors and this task has been achieved in [Karamanos & Nicolis, 1999] on a systematic basis.
Let P be the pattern (an abreviation of the symbolic trajectory) associated with the superstable orbit of period-m (briefly called here m-period). By definition,the (first)harmonic of P is the pattern, $\widehat{H}(P) = P\mu P$ where μ=L if P contains an odd number of R's and μ=R otherwise. The procedure can be iterated, so that one may speak of the second, third, ..., j-th harmonic, etc., hereafter denoted as $\widehat{H}^j(P)$. MSS also introduce the $\widehat{H}$-extension of a pattern P as the pattern P generated by iterating the harmonic construction applied j times to P, when j increases indefinitely. In the sequel, we shall rather adopt the notation $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^\infty(P)$ for this asymptotic pattern.
MSS prove that, if P is allowed, $\widehat{H}(P)$ allowed too (their Theorem 1). Furthermore,in their universal ordering, we have $P < \widehat{H}(P)$ and the harmonics are adjacent, that is, no allowed sequence exists between P and $\widehat{H}(P)$. Upon iterating the process:

$$\forall j, P < \widehat{H}(P) < \widehat{H}^2(P) < ... < \widehat{H}^j(P) \tag{1}$$

For later use, we list hereafter the first few harmonics associated with the 2^k superstable cycles.
The 2^∞ cycle, is given by:

$P \equiv R(\,2\ period\,) \to \widehat{H}(R) = RLR\ (4\ period) \to$
$\widehat{H}^2(R) = RLRRRLR = RLR^3LR\ (8\ period) \to$
$\widehat{H}^3(R) = RLRRRLRLRLRRRLR = RLR^3LRLRLR^3LR\ (16\ period) \to$
$\widehat{H}^4(R) = RLRRRLRLRLRRRLRRRLRRRLRLRLRRRLR =$
$RLR^3LRLRLR^3LR^3LR^3LRLRLR^3LR\ (32\ period)$

The logistic map is the archetype of a Complex System. Let us elaborate. We introduce the logistic map in its familiar form :

$$x_{n+1} = rx_n(1 - x_n) \tag{2}$$

For the logistic map in this form the generating partition is easily computed, following the above-mentioned argument dating back to the French Mathematician Gaston Julia. To be more specific,for $f(x) = r \cdot x \cdot (1 - x)$ the equation $f'(c) = 0$ gives c=0.5 so that the partition of the phase space (which in this case is the unit interval I=[0,1]) L=[0,0.5] and R=(0.5,1] is a generating partition. As it has been already pointed out the information content of the symbolic trajectory is the "minimum distinguishing information" in the words of Metropolis et al. Needless to say, in this representation the logistic map is viewed as an abstract information generator.

3. Self similarity and lumping

For both reasons of completeness and for later use we compile here some results first presented in [Karamanos & Nicolis, 1999]. In particular we establish certain invariance and self-similarity properties of the symbolic sequences associated to the 2^∞ attractors. Taking advantage of these properties, we then introduce a scheme mapping the original sequence into a new sequence of hypersymbols with well-defined statistical properties obtained by the lumping of groups of original symbols.
We define an operator, $\widehat{K}$, in the space of 2^k sequences (that is for the "patterns" having the form $\widehat{H}^k(R)$) through the following action which, in a sense, is inverse to that of the harmonic operator (we first fix a pattern $P = \widehat{H}^{m+1}(R)$):

i) Cut the last R of the pattern P (which necessarily ends by R and has an odd number of symbols),

ii) In the remaining part of the pattern, perform the lumpings : $RR \to L$, $RL \to R$, starting from the first R of the pattern from the left. Choosing $P = (\widehat{H}^{m+1}(R))$ one can then show that

$$\widehat{K}(\widehat{H}^{m+1}(R)) = \widehat{H}^m(R) \tag{3}$$

Taking the limit, $m \to \infty$ in this relation, we have :

$$\widehat{K}(\widehat{H}^{\infty}(R)) = \widehat{H}^{\infty}(R) \tag{4}$$

showing that the action of $\widehat{K}$ leaves the 2^∞ sequence invariant.The passage to this limit is essentially equivalent to the existence of accumulation points and its validity is established, for instance in [Feigenbaum, 1978; Feigenbaum, 1979] and in another context, in [Daems & Nicolis, 1994]. Actually this self-similarity property can be extended straightforwardly to guarantee invariance under a repetitive use of the operator $\widehat{K}$.

$$\widehat{K}^n(\widehat{H}^{\infty}(R)) = \widehat{H}^{\infty}(R) \tag{5}$$

as long as n is finite. As an example, consider the subsequence RLRRRLRL, which is part of the symbolic trajectory. Applying the rule twice, one obtains, successively, RLRR and RL, as stipulated, precisely, in step (ii). Notice that this reduction would be inapplicable, had the sequence been read by gliding one symbol each time.
In this way, we have explored the symmetries of the harmonic operator. We shall now show that one can take profit of these symmetries to generate the whole sequence. Indeed, we now express the period-doubling symbolic sequence as a sequence generated by substitutions instead of being fixed point of the harmonic operator. To this end, we introduce the morphism (substitution rule) Re^{-1} , defined as:

$$\mathrm{Re}^{-1}(R) = RL, \mathrm{Re}^{-1}(L) = RR \tag{6}$$

And we observe that:

$$\widehat{H}(R) = RLR = \mathrm{Re}^{-1}(R)R \tag{7}$$

By repetitive use of the above equation we find

$$\widehat{H}^n(R) = [(\mathrm{Re}^{-1})^n(R)][(\mathrm{Re}^{-1})^{n-1}(R)]...[\mathrm{Re}^{-1}(R)]R \tag{8}$$

Taking now the limit of this relation when $n \to \infty$ we find asymptotically:

$$\widehat{H}^{\infty}(R) = (\mathrm{Re}^{-1})^{\infty}(R) \tag{9}$$

which is the desired relation, as it expresses the 2^∞ Feigenbaum sequence as the fixed point of the morphism Re^{-1} (eq. (6)) which is of constant length 2. Operationally this guarantees that the successive replacements:

$R \to RL \to RLRR \to RLRRRLRL \to$

$\to RLRRRLRLRLRRRLRR...$

generate indeed in each step the first part of the 2^∞ sequence. Due now to a theorem by A. Cobham, a sequence generated by a set of substitution rules of length m, one for each letter (morphism) can be in an equivalent manner generated by a deterministic finite automaton (the lowest order Turing machine) with m-states. (This is why the term "automatic").

We next proceed to reproduce the explicit evaluation of the probability mass of the symbols R and L. According to the MSS algorithm,the number of letters of a permissible word increase from construction steps ν to $\nu+1$ as $\omega_{\nu+1} = 2\omega_\nu + 1$ (with $\omega_1 = 1$). The solution of this recurrence is $\omega_\nu = 2^\nu - 1$. On the other hand for the number of R's, one has ($\omega_1^R = 1$)

$$\omega_{\nu+1}^R = \begin{cases} 2\omega_\nu^R, \omega_\nu^R \; odd \\ 2\omega_\nu^R + 1, \omega_\nu^R \; even \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Whose solution is:

$$\omega_\nu^R = \left[\frac{2^{\nu+1}-1}{3}\right], \tag{11}$$

It follows that the fraction of R's is:

$$p_{R=} \lim_{\nu\to\infty} \left(\frac{\left[\frac{2^{\nu+1}-1}{3}\right]}{2^\nu - 1} \right) = \frac{2}{3} \tag{12}$$

In other words, the *a priori* probabilities of R and L in a long sequence are:

$$p_R = \tfrac{2}{3}, p_L = \tfrac{1}{3} \; (\, 2^\infty \; sequence \,) \tag{13}$$

In the next Section we shall establish the connection with gliding.

4. Further properties of frequencies for the 2^∞ sequence. Connection with Gliding.

In [Karamanos & Nicolis, 1999], it has been shown that one can reduce, the study of the occurrence of words of an even length to the study of words of an odd length, when reading starts from the beginning of the sequence. The main idea for this computation is to explicitly write down effective transition probabilities from first principles. We shall reproduce briefly the idea exposed in [Karamanos & Nicolis, 1999] and give some examples.

The above analysis also provides information on the transition probability matrix of the (coarse-grained) state vector. For instance, in the case of the 2^∞ sequence, there is no $L \to L$ transition, and the $R \to L$ or $R \to R$ transitions are equally frequent. The 1-step transition matrix is thus

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

Suppose we begin with a symbol R, randomly chosen on the 2^∞ sequence. This has a probability 2/3 to happen. The next symbol has 1/2 probability to be an R and equal probability to an L, in view of the 1-step transition matrix eq.(14). For the next step, we have the following, keeping in mind the replacement rules of eq.(6):

i) the configuration, RR has a probability 1/2 to continue as RRR and a probability 1/2 to continue as RRL.

ii) the configuration, RL, continues certainly (with probability 1) with R, to form RLR, as we already know from eq. (14).

If the subsequence begins now with an L, the situation is slightly more complicated. We first observe that the operator $\widehat{\mathrm{K}}$ introduced as a kind of inverse of the harmonic operator previously , is not uniquely defined. One could, for instance, define an operator $\widehat{K'}$ which cuts the first R of the pattern, $P = \widehat{H}^q$ and performs the replacements , $RR \to L$, $LR \to R$ to the rest of the sequence. Then it is easy to show that $\widehat{K'}$ is also a (partial) inverse of $\widehat{H}$ entailing that, in the case of subsequences which start with an L, self-similarity is manifested with (new) hypersymbols formulated under the replacements $RR \to L$, $LR \to R$.If we begin so with an L, this has a probability 1/3 to happen. For the next symbol, we are certain that it will be R (from eq. (14)), to form LR. The latter configuration has a probability of 1/2 to continue as LRR and an equal probability, 1/2, to continue as LRL. Locally, one can also use the conclusions of the previous section as, for instance, the recurrence time distribution : a configuration ...LRR will continue, with certainty, with an R.

To conclude, we thus have five words of length three: three words starting with an R, namely RRR, RRL and RLR, with corresponding frequencies of occurrence, 1/6, 1/6, and 1/3, respectively, and two words starting with an L, namely LRR and LRL, with corresponding frequencies of occurrence, 1/6 and 1/6, respectively.

Excluding configurations which do not obey the basic requirement of self-similarity, we proceed like this *ad infinitum*. Fig. 2 also illustrates this point.



Fig. 1. This figure illustrates the mechanism of generation of the words encountered when reading the sequence by gliding.

This procedure allows to write down all the words of an odd length encountered when gliding on the 2^∞ sequence, as well as their frequencies. Using the effective n-step (gliding) transition probabilities just introduced, we are in the position to write down explicitly the entropies for words of an odd length in a constructive manner (that is, step-by-step). In the next section we introduce the main concern of this paper, different types of correlation functions.

5. Correlation functions

First of all we define the correlation function of the trajectory as:

$$C_{un}(m) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \widehat{x}_{i+m}\widehat{x}_i, where \widehat{x}_i = f^i(x_0) - \overline{x}, \overline{x} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f^i(x_0) \tag{15}$$

In direct analogy with the un-normalized correlation function we also introduce here the normalized

correlation function:

$$C(m) = \frac{C_{un}(m)}{C_{un}(0)} = \frac{C_{un}(m)}{\sigma^2} \tag{16}$$

where σ is the mean standard deviation.

From these definitions follows that $C_{un}(m)$ yields another measure for the irregularity of the sequence of iterates x_0 ,$f(x_0)$, $f^2(x_0)$ ....It tells us how much the deviations of the iterates from their average value, $\widehat{x}_i = x_i - \overline{x}$ that are m steps apart (i. e., $\widehat{x}_{i+m}$ and $\widehat{x}_i$) "know" about each other, on the average. If $C(m) \nrightarrow 0$ as $m \to \infty$ then the system does not have the mixing property. The problem of determining the correlation function of an arbitrary dynamical system is intractable in the general case. This is the reason to resort to other computable observables such as the symbol-to-symbol correlation function [Daems & Nicolis, 1994]. So,in analogy with the correlation function of the trajectory we can introduce the symbol-to-symbol correlation function as:

$$K_{un}(m) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \widehat{y}_{i+m}\widehat{y}_i \tag{17}$$

where $\widehat{y}_i$=0,1 when $x_i \leqslant 0.5$ or $x_i > 0.5$ respectively and

$$\widehat{y}_i = y(f^i(x_0)) - \overline{y} \; where \; \overline{y} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y(f^i(x_0)) \tag{18}$$

In the same way we can define the normalized symbol-to-symbol correlation function

$$\mathrm{K}(m) = \frac{\mathrm{K}_{un}(m)}{\mathrm{K}_{un}(0)} = \frac{\mathrm{K}_{un}(m)}{\sigma'^2} \tag{19}$$

6. Numerical experimentation

Motivated by previous work on correlation functions [Daems & Nicolis, 1994; Ruelle, 1986], we explore here numerically the properties of the symbol-to-symbol correlation function.

In order to cope with the problem of the analytic form of correlation functions we stalled certain number of numerical experiments. For the logistic map at the Feigenbaum point r=3.56994567... we have calculated the un-normalized symbol-to-symbol correlation function for $50 \cdot 10^6$ iterations (we eliminated the first 10^5 iterations to avoid transients), starting from the initial point $x_0 = 0.5$ As also stated in [Karamanos & Nicolis, 1999], exactly at the Feigenbaum point the Lyapunov exponent strictly vanishes $\lambda = 0$.

We now turn back to the structure of the un-normalized symbol-to-symbol correlation function as it is found numerically for $50 \cdot 10^6$ iterations (this scheme is depicted in Fig.2):

$$K_{un}(m) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{9}, & m - odd = 1 + 2 \cdot k \;\; (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \frac{1}{18}, & m = 2 + 4 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \frac{1}{7.2}, & m = 4 + 8 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \frac{1}{5.537}, & m = 8 + 16 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \sim \frac{1}{5}, & m = 16 + 32 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \sim \frac{1}{4.720}, & m = 32 + 64 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \sim \frac{1}{4.6085}, & m = 64 + 128 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \sim \frac{1}{4.5521}, & m = 128 + 256 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \sim \frac{1}{4.5271}, & m = 256 + 512 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \sim \frac{1}{4.5121}, & m = 512 + 1024 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \\ \sim \frac{1}{4.5072}, & m = 1024 + 2048 \cdot k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

In a more compact form we can announce the following empirical rule for the symbol-to-symbol correlation function (observable):

$$K_{un}(m) = A_r \cdot \delta_{m,2^{r-1}\cdot(1+2\cdot k)} \tag{21}$$

where for a given (fixed) r, $r \in \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$, A_r is a constant depending only on r , and k takes all the values from the set of natural numbers $\{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$. As we will show in Section 7 one can determine the constants A_r from first principles.
As a final comment we observe that according to our computations that for $m \geq 256$ the correlation function ($K_{un}(m)$) approaches the value 2/9.



Fig. 2. The symbol-to-symbol correlation function is depicted for the logistic map exactly at the accumulation point r = FP and with initial condition $x_0 = 0.5$. The first 10^5 iterations have been eliminated in order to exclude transients, and the subsequent $50 \cdot 10^6$ iterations have been taken into account for the calculations. The open circles in the diagram represent the values of the symbol-to-symbol correlation function as a function of the separation steps m. The experimentally determined Lyapunov is $-5,94 \cdot 10^{-5}$.

7. Analytic calculation of the symbol-to-symbol correlation function

From the Metropolis, Stein and Stein algorithm we find that the first terms of the 2^∞ symbolic sequence are:
RLRRRLRLRLRRRLRRRLRRRLRLRLRRRLR... denoted by $\mathbb{A}$
Corresponding to this symbolic sequence is the arithmetic sequence :
10111010101110111011101010111101... denoted by ($\mathbb{S}$)

Starting from the initial point $x_0 = 0.5$. Our interest is to understand the correlations of the above arithmetic sequences.One way is to examine the corresponding symbol-to-symbol correlation function.
It is useful to introduce now some definitions and compact auxiliary notation in connection with arithmetic sequences. Let $(a_n)_{n\in N} = a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ and $(b_n)_{n\in N} = b_1, b_2, ..., b_n$ be two arithmetic sequences.We define the following composition law:

$$a_N \circ b_N = \frac{1}{N}\left(a_1 \cdot b_1 + a_2 \cdot b_2 + ... + a_N \cdot b_N\right) \tag{22}$$

and taking the limit (if it exists) as $N \to \infty$ we define $\mathbb{A} \circ ß = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (a_i \cdot b_i)$.
It is easy to show that the mean value of ($\mathbb{S}$) is

$$< y >= \frac{2}{3}R + \frac{1}{3}L = \frac{2}{3}1 + \frac{1}{3}0 = \frac{2}{3} \tag{23}$$

So that

$$(< y >)^2 = \frac{4}{9} \tag{24}$$

The subsequence of ($\mathbb{S}$) corresponding to the even indices (2ρ) is :
010001010100010... denoted by $\overline{\mathbb{S}}$
The subsequence of ($\mathbb{S}$) corresponding to the odd indices ($2\rho + 1$) is:
1111111111111111... denoted by $\mathbb{I}$
In the Appendix A we shall prove that sequence ($\overline{\mathbb{S}}$) is the complementary of the sequence ($\mathbb{S}$) , (that it is generated from the sequence ($\mathbb{S}$) by the replacement $0 \to 1$, $1 \to 0$) .We shall also prove that sequence ($\mathbb{I}$) is periodic of period 1.
We now introduce the following notation:

$$\mathbb{A}_q = a_q \cdot a_{q+1} \cdot .... \cdot a_{q+n} \tag{25}$$

In the sequel we shall show the following proposition:
LEMMA 1: For the odd indices of the correlation function we have $K_{un}(m) = -\frac{1}{9}$, for $m = 1 + 2\rho$ (odd). We first illustrate the above lemma for K(1) and K(3). We thereby turn to the problem of determining K(1):

$$\begin{aligned} K(1) + < y >^2 &= \lim_{N\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2N}(\widehat{y}_1 \cdot \widehat{y}_2 + \widehat{y}_3 \cdot \widehat{y}_4 + \widehat{y}_5 \cdot \widehat{y}_6 + ...)\right] = \\ = \lim_{N\to\infty}&\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N}(\widehat{y}_{2n+1} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2n+2} + \widehat{y}_{2n+3} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2n+4})\right] = \\ = \frac{1}{2}&(\mathbb{S}_{2n+1} \circ \mathbb{S}_{2n+2} + \mathbb{S}_{2n+3} \circ \mathbb{S}_{2n+4}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} \circ \overline{\mathbb{S}} + \overline{\mathbb{S}} \circ \mathbb{I}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1\right) = \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

So that,

$$K(1) = \frac{1}{3} - < y >^2 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{9} = -\frac{1}{9} \tag{27}$$

Next we compute K(3). We found that:

$$\begin{aligned} K(3) + < y >^2 &= \lim_{N\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2N}(\widehat{y}_1 \cdot \widehat{y}_4 + \widehat{y}_2 \cdot \widehat{y}_5 + \widehat{y}_3 \cdot \widehat{y}_6 + ...)\right] = \\ \lim_{N\to\infty}&\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\rho=0}^{N}(\widehat{y}_{2\rho+1} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2\rho+4} + \widehat{y}_{2\rho+2} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2\rho+5})\right] = \\ = \frac{1}{2}&(\mathbb{S}_{2\rho+1} \circ \mathbb{S}_{2\rho+4} + \mathbb{S}_{2\rho+2} \circ \mathbb{S}_{2\rho+5}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} \circ \overline{\mathbb{S}} + \overline{\mathbb{S}} \circ \mathbb{I}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1\right) = \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

So:

$$K(3) = \frac{1}{3} - < y >^2 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{9} = -\frac{1}{9} \tag{29}$$

Thus we observe $K(1) = K(3) = -\frac{1}{9}$.
We outline now the general proof of this lemma:

$$K(2\rho+1)+<y>^2=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+1}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+1+2\rho+1}+\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+2}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+2+2\rho+1})=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+1}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2(\nu+\rho)+2}+\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+2}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2(\nu+\rho)+3})=$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2\lambda+2}+\overline{\mathbb{S}}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2\rho+3})=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}\circ\overline{\mathbb{S}}+\overline{\mathbb{S}}\circ\mathbb{I})=\frac{1}{3} \tag{30}$$

so that:

$$K(2\rho+1)=\frac{1}{3}-<y>^2=\frac{1}{3}-\frac{4}{9}=-\frac{1}{9} \tag{31}$$

LEMMA 2: For the even indices of the form 2+4k we have :$K_{un}(2+4k)=\frac{1}{18}$. Again we first illustrate the above lemma for K(2) and K(4).

$$K(2)+<y>^2=\lim_{N\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2N}(\widehat{y}_1\cdot\widehat{y}_3+\widehat{y}_2\cdot\widehat{y}_4+...)\right]=\lim_{N\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\rho=0}^{N}(\widehat{y}_{2\rho+1}\cdot\widehat{y}_{2\rho+3}+\widehat{y}_{2\rho+2}\cdot\widehat{y}_{2\rho+4})\right]=$$
$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+1}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+3}+\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+2}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2\nu+4})=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}\circ\mathbb{I}+0)=\frac{1}{2}\cdot 1=\frac{1}{2}\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(2)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{4}{9}=\frac{1}{18} \tag{32}$$

$$K(4)+<y>^2=\lim_{N\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2N}(\widehat{y}_1\cdot\widehat{y}_3+\widehat{y}_2\cdot\widehat{y}_4+...)\right]=\lim_{N\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\rho=0}^{N}(\widehat{y}_{2\rho+1}\cdot\widehat{y}_{2\rho+5}+\widehat{y}_{2\rho+2}\cdot\widehat{y}_{2\rho+6})\right]=$$
$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{S}_{2\rho+1}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2\rho+5}+\mathbb{S}_{2\rho+2}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2\rho+6})=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}\circ\mathbb{I}+\overline{\mathbb{S}}\circ\mathbb{S}_{2\rho+6})\simeq\frac{1}{2}\cdot(1+\frac{1}{10})=\frac{7}{12}\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(4)=\frac{7}{12}-<y>^2=\frac{10}{72} \tag{33}$$

Coming back to Fig.1 we are thus ready to achieve a spectacular reduction of complexity: for the symbol-to-symbol correlation function K(m), the study reduces to the calculation of the $1\longrightarrow\ldots 1$ probabilities, that is of the R...R contributions in the sum, as the R...L , L...L , L...R contributions vanish. In order to compute $K(m)+<y>^2$ we multiply the correspondingly transition probabilities in chain for the blocks R...R .
We first recover the few initial values of $K(m)+<y>^2$ (m=1,2,3,4) calculated previously from first principles starting from the diagram of Fig.1 :

$$\bullet K(1)+<y>^2=\frac{2}{3} \tag{34}$$

$$\bullet K(2)+<y>^2=\frac{2}{3}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{3}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot 1=\frac{1}{2} \tag{35}$$

$$\bullet K(3)+<y>^2=\frac{2}{3}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{3}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{3} \tag{36}$$

$$\bullet K(4)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot 1+\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1+\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(4)+<y>^2=\tfrac{7}{12} \tag{37}$$

We then proceed for the K(m) for the next few values of m:

$$\bullet K(5)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot 1\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1+\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1+\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot 1\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(5)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot(1+1+1+1)=\tfrac{1}{3} \tag{38}$$

$$\bullet K(6)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(6)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{4}\right)=\tfrac{1}{2} \tag{39}$$

$$\bullet K(7)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2})=\tfrac{2}{3}\cdot 4\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}=\tfrac{1}{3}\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(7)+<y>^2=\tfrac{1}{3} \tag{40}$$

$$\bullet K(8)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(8)+<y>^2=\tfrac{15}{24} \tag{41}$$

$$\bullet K(9)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(9)+<y>^2=\tfrac{1}{3} \tag{42}$$

$$\bullet K(10)+<y>^2=$$
$$\tfrac{2}{3}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\Rightarrow \tag{43}$$
$$\Rightarrow K(10)+<y>^2=\tfrac{1}{2}$$

$$\bullet K(11)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(11)+<y>^2=\tfrac{1}{3} \tag{44}$$

$$\bullet K(12)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+$$
$$\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}$$
$$+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2})\Rightarrow K(12)+<y>^2=\tfrac{7}{12} \tag{45}$$

$$\bullet K(13)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+$$
$$+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2})\Rightarrow K(13)+<y>^2=\tfrac{1}{3} \tag{46}$$

$$\bullet K(14)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+$$
$$+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2})\Rightarrow K(14)+<y>^2=\tfrac{1}{2} \tag{47}$$

$$\bullet K(15)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}(\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+$$
$$+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2}\cdot\tfrac{1}{2})\Rightarrow K(15)+<y>^2=\tfrac{1}{3} \tag{48}$$

$$\bullet K(16)+<y>^2=\tfrac{2}{3}\left[1-\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)^5\right]=\tfrac{31}{3\cdot 16}\Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow K(16)=\tfrac{29}{144}\approx\tfrac{1}{4.9655} \tag{49}$$

We thus announce our main results as Theorem I :

Theorem I: The symbol-to-symbol correlation function of the logistic takes the following values at the Feigenbaum point

$$K_{un}(m)=\begin{cases}-\frac{1}{9}, & m-odd=1+2\cdot k \quad (k=0,1,2,...)\\ \frac{1}{18}, & m=2+4\cdot k \quad (k=0,1,2,...)\\ \frac{10}{72}, & m=4+8\cdot k \quad (k=0,1,2,...)\\ \frac{13}{72}, & m=8+16\cdot k \quad (k=0,1,2,...)\\ \frac{29}{144}, & m=16+32\cdot k \quad (k=0,1,2,...)\end{cases} \tag{50}$$

We can extend this procedure to infinity. The constructive scheme guarantees that this deep algorithmic structure is kept in all scales.

The behavior of the symbol-to-symbol correlation function of the logistic map immediately right to the FP, is an interesting problem which requires very long trajectories and it is a challenge for future work. As a closing remark, we see that the transition to chaos through the period doubling cascade is a phenomenon reflected to many aspects of dynamical systems and to many observables.

8. Conclusions

The study of correlation functions plays an important role in non-linear science, as it is a measure to characterize the decay of correlations in these systems. Motivated by the call of Ruelle and related works [Alonso et al., 1996; May, 1976; Nicolis & Nicolis, 1988], we stalled numerical computations to study the correlation functions of the logistic map. From all of the correlation functions of the logistic map, correlation function at the onset of chaos (Feigenbaum point) plays an important role.
In order to focus our attention to computable observables, inspired by related work by D. Daems and G. Nicolis at the onset of homoclinic chaos, we rigorously introduced the symbol-to-symbol correlation function. After checking carefully the arithmetic values of the correlation function, we obtain a general empirical rule for its description.
Based on the framework of the Metropolis-Stein and Stein algorithm, we obtain the exact symbolic dynamics at the accumulation point. This construction allows analytical computations to obtain the first values of the symbol-to-symbol correlation function. At this point the algorithm connecting the block entropies by lumping and gliding, established in [Karamanos & Nicolis , 1999] leads to a new constructive, step-by-step scheme (algorithm) to obtain analytically all values of symbol-to-symbol correlation function until infinity. This result gives birth to a very strong universality argument for the symbol-to symbol correlation function as it holds for any unimodal map (it holds for instance for the maps described in [Fraser & Kapral, 1985]) What is the relation of the correlation function of the trajectory, with the symbol-to-symbol correlation function $K_{un}(m)$. A close relation seems to hold indeed, which is described in a forthcoming manuscript by the same authors. Roughly, the answer is that the correlation function of the trajectory contains the same time scales as the symbol-to-symbol correlation function.
Thus the blurred image (due to the inherent spatial inhomogeneity of the corresponding attractor) observed at the trajectory level of description, is replaced by a set of clear-cut rules at the level of symbolic dynamics. It is hoped that this study will contribute to a better understanding of non-linear phenomena.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to the Library of the National Research Foundation of Athens, Greece.

Appendix A

We shall prove that in the space of patterns P, with $P = \widehat{H}^q(R)$ the following proposition holds :

Proposition A: The subsequence defined by the odd $(2\rho+1)$terms of the Feigenbaum sequence is composed by identically juxtaposed 2^ρ R-terms.

Proof: The patterns present the particularity that can be written in the form, P = RαR (where α is substring composed by symbols L, R), as can easily be seen by induction. Also,$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}(P) = R\alpha R\mu R\alpha R$.
We now define an operator $\widehat{G}$ which keeps only the odd terms (indices) of the pattern.
1^{st} step: $R \to RLR$

$$\widehat{G}(RLR) = RR \tag{A.1}$$

2^{nd} step :

$$\widehat{G}(RLRRRLR) = RRRR \tag{A.2}$$

Suppose that for the n^{th} step:

$$\widehat{G}(\widehat{H}^n(R)) = \underbrace{RR.....RR}_{2^n} \tag{A.3}$$

Then at the $(n+1)^{th}$ step we have:

$$\widehat{G}(\widehat{H}^{n+1}(R)) = \widehat{G}(\widehat{H}^n(R)\mu_{\widehat{H}^n(R)}\widehat{H}^n(R)) = \widehat{G}(\widehat{H}^n(R)\mu_{\widehat{H}^n(R)})\widehat{G}(\widehat{H}^n(R)) = \underbrace{RR....RR}_{2^n}\underbrace{RR...RR}_{2^n} \tag{A.4}$$

where: $\sharp$ of R's at the n^{th} step $=2^n = \sharp$ of odd elements

$$d(\widehat{H}^n(R)) = (1+1)\cdot 2^n - 1 = 2^{n+1} - 1 \ (by\ induction) \tag{A.5}$$

$$\sharp of R's = \frac{2^{n+1}-2}{2} + 1 = 2^n - 1 + 1 = 2^n \tag{A.6}$$

Secondly we define an operator $\widehat{F}$, again in the space of patterns P with $P = \widehat{H}^q(R)$ which keeps only the even terms (indices) of the pattern.
1^{st} step: $R \to RLR$

$$\widehat{F}(RLR) = L = \overline{R} \tag{A.7}$$

2^{nd} step: $RLR \to RLRRRLR$

$$\widehat{F}(\widehat{H}^2(R)) = \widehat{F}(RLRRRLR) = LRL = \overline{RLR} \tag{A.8}$$

Let us suppose that for the n^{th} step:

$$\widehat{F}(\widehat{H}^n(R)) = \overline{\widehat{H}^{n-1}(R)} \tag{A.9}$$

Then at the $(n+1)^{th}$ step we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{F}(\widehat{H}^{n+1}(R)) = \widehat{F}(\widehat{H}^n(R)\mu_{(\widehat{H}^n(R))}\widehat{H}^n(R)) = \widehat{F}(\widehat{H}^n(R)\mu_{(\widehat{H}^n(R))})\widehat{F}(\widehat{H}^n(R)) = \\ \overline{\widehat{H}^{n-1}(R)}\overline{\mu}_{(\widehat{H}^n(R))}\overline{\widehat{H}^{n-1}(R)} = \overline{\widehat{H}^{n-1}(R)}\mu_{(\widehat{H}^{n-1}(R))}\overline{\widehat{H}^{n-1}(R)} = \overline{\widehat{H}^n(R)}\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Where we have make use of:

$$\overline{\mu}(\widehat{H}^n(R)) = \mu(\widehat{H}^{n-1}(R)) \tag{A.11}$$

the alternation of values of μ's. In the same manner we arrive at the following conclusions:

a) The subsequence of the indices of the form $(2\nu+4)$ is the displacement of the sequence of even indices $(2\nu+2)$ for one place that is:

RLLLRLRL... $(= \overline{\mathbb{S}})$

b) Similarly the subsequence of indices of the form $(4\nu+3)$ is:
RRRRRRRR...
c) The subsequence with indices of the form $(2+4\nu)$ is:

LLLLLLLLLL...

d) The subsequence of indices of the form $(4+8\nu)$ is :
RRRRRRRRR...

Appendix B

We want to prove that the relation: $\mathbb{S}_{2\cdot\nu+2} \circ \mathbb{S}_{2\cdot\nu+4} = 0$ is valid for every ν. For this purpose we will proceed by induction.For this end we introduce a new quantity:

$$Q_n = \widehat{y}_{2\cdot n+2} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2\cdot n+4} \tag{B.1}$$

For $n = 1$ we have that

$$Q_1 = \widehat{y}_4 \cdot \widehat{y}_6 = 1 \cdot 0 = 0 \tag{B.2}$$

If we suppose that

$$Q_k = \widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+2} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+4} = 0 \tag{B.3}$$

is valid, we have to show that is also valid for $k \to k+1$ e.g

$$Q_{k+1} = \widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+4} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+6} = 0 \tag{B.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+2} \cdot Q_{k+1} = \underbrace{\widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+2} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+4}}_{Q_k} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+6} = 0 \Rightarrow Q_k \cdot \widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+6} = 0 \overset{\cdot \widehat{y}_{2\cdot k+8}}{\to} \\ &\to Q_k \cdot Q_{k+1} = 0, \forall k \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

References

Adamczewski, B. & Bugeaud, Y. [2007] "On the complexity of algebraic numbers 1: Expansions in integer bases," *Ann. Math.,* **165**, pp. 547-65.

Allouche, J-P. & Cosnard, M. [1983] "Iterations de fonctions unimodales et suites engendrees par automates," *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris (Serie I),* **296**, pp. 159-162.

Allouche, J-P. & Zamboni, L.Q. [1998] "Algebraic irrational binary binary numbers cannot be fixed points of nontrivial constant length or primitive morphisms," *J. Numb. Th.* **69**, pp. 119-124.

Alonso, D., Mckernan, D., Gaspard, P. & Nicolis, G. [1996] "Statistical approach to nonhyperbolic chaotic systems," *Phys. Rev .E* **54**, pp. 2474-2478.

Bai-Lin, H. [1994] *"Chaos,"* Singapore: World Scientific.

Daems, D. & Nicolis, G. [1994] "Probabilistic approach to homoclinic chaos," *J. Stat. Phys.* **76(5/6)**, pp. 1287-1305.

Derrida, B., Gervois, G. & Pomeau, Y. [1978] "Iteration of endomorphisms on the real axis and representation of numbers," *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare (IHP), Section A: Physique Theorique* **Vol.XXIX**, (No.6), pp. 1683-1694.

Ebeling, W. & Nicolis, G. [1991] "Entropy of symbolic sequences:the role of correlations, " *Europhys. Lett.* **14(3)**, pp. 191-196.

Ebeling,W. & Nicolis, G. [1992] "Word frequency and entropy of symbolic sequences: a dynamical perpective," *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **2**, p. 635.

Feigenbaum, M. [1978] "Quantitative universality for a class of nonlinear transformations," *J. Stat. Phys.* **19**, p. 25.

Feigenbaum, M. [1979] "The universal metric properties of nonlinear transformations," *J. Stat. Phys.* **21**, p. 669.

Fraser, S. & Kapral, R. [1985] "Mass and Dimension of Feigenbaum attractors," *Phys. Rev. A* **31(3)**, pp. 1684-1694.

Freund, J., Ebeling, W. & Rateitschak, K. [1996] "Self-similar sequences and universal scaling of dynamical entropies," *Phys. Rev. E* **54(5)**, pp. 5561-5566.

Grassberger, P. [1986] "Toward a quantitative theory of self-generated complexity," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **25(9)**, p. 907.

Julia, G. [1918] "Memoire sur-l'iteration des functions rationelles," *J. de Math. (Liouville) Ser.* **7(14)**, pp. 47-245. (The relevant theorem appears on p.129ff).

Karamanos, K. [2000] "From symbolic dynamics to a digital approach : Chaos and transcendence," *Lecture Notes in Physics* **550**, (Springer-Verlag) pp. 357-371.

Karamanos, K. [2001] "From symbolic dynamics to a digital approach," *Int. J. Bif. Chaos, Vol.* **11(6)**, pp. 1683-1694.

Karamanos, K. [2001] "Entropy analysis of substitutive sequence revisited," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34**, pp. 9231-9241.

Karamanos, K. & Kotsireas, I. [2002] *Kybernetes* **31(9/10)**, pp. 1409-1417.

Karamanos, K. & Nicolis, G. [1999] *Chaos Solitons and Fractals* **10(1)**, pp. 1135-1150.

May, R. M. [1976]"Simple mathematical models with very complicated dynamics," *Nature* **261**, pp. 459-467.

Metropolis, N., Stein, M.L. & Stein, P. R. [1973] *J. Comb. Theory* **A15(1)**, pp. 25-44.

Nicolis, J. S. [1991] "Chaos and Information Processing," *World Scientific,* Singapore.

Nicolis, J. S., Meyer-Kress, G. & Haubs, G. [1983]"Non-uniform chaotic dynamics with implications to information processing," *Zeitschrift fur Naturforschung: Teil A* **38 (11)**, p. 1157.

Nicolis, G. [1995] "Introduction to Nonlinear Science,' '*Cambridge University Press,* Cambridge.

Nicolis, G. & Gaspard, P. [1994] *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **4(1)**, p. 41.

Nicolis, G. & Nicolis, C. [1988] "Master equation approach to deterministic chaos," *Phys. Rev. A* **38(1)**, p. 427.

Rateitschak, K., Freund, J. & Ebeling, W. [1996]"Entropy of sequences generated by nonlinear processes: the logistic map, In: Entropy and Entropy Generation" *ed. J. S. Shiner. Kluwer Acad. Publ.*, pp.11-26.

Ruelle, D. [1986] "Resonances of chaotic dynamical systems" *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**, pp.405-407.

Schröder, M. [1991] "Fractals, Chaos, Power Laws," *Freeman,* New York.

Schuster, H. G. [1984] "Deterministic Chaos," *Physik-Verlag,* Weinheim.